A novel risk model of three gefitinib-related genes FBP1, SBK1 and AURKA is related to the immune microenvironment and is predicting prognosis of lung adenocarcinoma patients

Qiang Guo^{1,2,*}, Kai Li^{3,*}, Ni Jiang^{4,*}, Rui Zhou^{5,6}, Xin-Rui Rao^{5,6}, Chuang-Yan Wu¹

 ¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China
²Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Taihe Hospital, Hubei University of Medicine, Shiyan, China
³Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, The People's Hospital of Jianyang City, Jianyang, China
⁴Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Women and Children's Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China
⁵Cancer Center, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China
⁶Institute of Radiation Oncology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China
*Co-first authors

Correspondence to: Chuang-Yan Wu; email: caffein@hust.edu.cnKeywords: Gefitinib, FBP1, SBK1, AURKA, LUAD, risk model, nomogramReceived: May 24, 2023Accepted: August 21, 2023Published: September 21, 2023

Copyright: © 2023 Guo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Gefitinib, an anticancer drug, has been reported to potentially improve the prognosis of patients with lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD). This study aims to investigate the roles and mechanisms of Gefitinib.

Methods: The effects of Gefitinib on the growth and migration of LUAD cells were assessed using various methods, including CCK-8, flow cytometry, wound healing, and Transwell assays. To analyze the function and mechanisms of the differentially expressed Gefitinib target genes (GTGs), data from the TCGA database were utilized. Kaplan-Meier survival and ROC analysis identified prognostic-related GTGs and constructed a prognostic nomogram in LUAD. Consensus clustering, COX analysis and survival analysis evaluated the relationship between GTGs and the prognosis of LUAD patients. The mechanisms of the risk model involved LUAD progression, and the relationship between the risk model and immune microenvironment were investigated.

Results: Gefitinib could inhibit proliferation, migration and invasion and promote cell apoptosis. 84 DEGTGs were involved in RAS, MAPK, ERBB pathways. The DEGTGs (FBP1, SBK1, and AURKA) were the independent risk factors for dismal prognosis of LUAD patients and were used to establish risk model and nomogram. Gefitinib could promote the expression of FBP1 and inhibit the expression of SBK1 and AURKA. High-risk LUAD patients had the dismal prognosis, and the high-risk score group was significantly associated with the immune microenvironment.

Conclusion: FBP1, SBK1, and AURKA are prognostic risk factors, and the risk model and nomogram of FBP1, SBK1 and AURKA are associated with dismal prognosis and immune cell infiltration, and have huge prospects for application in evaluating the prognosis in LUAD.

INTRODUCTION

Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is widely acknowledged as a common subtype of lung cancer [1, 2]. Current evidence suggests that the incidence of LUAD has increased in recent years. However, dismal survival rates have been reported for LUAD patients. Overwhelming evidence substantiates that some biomarkers can be used to predict the prognosis of LUAD patients. Interestingly, inhibiting or promoting the expression of these biomarkers could delay LUAD progression [3–5]. For instance, it has been shown that thyroid hormone receptor interactor 13 (TRIP13) is significantly expressed in LUAD tissues, negatively correlated with mortality in LUAD patients. and involved in activating the AKT/mTORC1/c-Myc signaling pathway to promote LUAD cell proliferation, migration and invasion [3]. Moreover, the expression levels of CAPN1, c-MET, and PIK3R2 are reportedly upregulated, while PTPN1 is downregulated in LUAD. CAPN1 could activate the c-Met/PIK3R2 pathway by promoting the degradation of PTPN1 protein to enhance the malignant behavior and erlotinib resistance of LUAD cells [5].

It has been shown that Gefitinib could inhibit the catalytic activity of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), inhibiting tyrosine kinase-dependent tumor growth, cell cycle arrest, and angiogenesis. Gefitinib could compete with the ATP and EGFR tyrosine kinase domains for binding and inhibit receptor autophosphorylation and signaling transduction. An increasing body of evidence suggests that Gefitinib plays an important role against LUAD progression [6-9]. For instance, a study showed that among 121 with EGFR-mutant advanced LUAD, patients chemotherapy plus Gefitinib yielded significantly higher progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) than chemotherapy or Gefitinib. The overall response rate (ORR) was significantly better in the chemotherapy plus Gefitinib groups than in the chemotherapy or Gefitinib groups. Chemotherapy combined with the Gefitinib therapy could provide a better survival benefit to patients with LUAD with sensitive EGFR mutation [6]. In EGFR TKI-sensitive cells PC-9, the expression of LPCAT1 was significantly increased in EGFR TKI-resistant cells PC-9R. Interestingly, the formation of the LPCAT1-EGFR positive feedback loop could regulate the EGFR/PI3K/AKT signaling pathway to promote Gefitinib resistance to LUAD [8]. Lysine demethylase 5A (KDM5A) is a histone demethylase that is upregulated in LUAD tissues and cells. It has been shown that interfering with KDM5A expression led to apoptosis, inhibited cell proliferation, and promoted

the sensitivity of cancer cells to Gefitinib, accompanied by upregulation of BAX protein expression and downregulation of Bcl-2 protein expression in LUAD. Overexpression of KDM5A could promote the proliferation of LUAD cells, inhibit apoptosis, and promote the resistance of cancer cells to Gefitinib [9]. Although Gefitinib treatment could improve the prognosis and delay cell progression in LUAD, the roles of Gefitinib hub target genes in LUAD progression remain poorly understood. Therefore, the Gefitinib hub target molecules in the progression of LUAD were identified, and a risk model of Gefitinib target genes was constructed to predict the prognosis of LUAD patients using bioinformatics and cell experiments.

RESULTS

Gefitinib could inhibit cell growth and migration in LUAD

After adding an appropriate concentration of Gefitinib, we found that with increased Gefitinib concentration, the proliferative ability of cells decreased during the CCK-8 assay (Figure 1A–1C), and the apoptosis rate significantly raised during flow cytometry (Figure 1D). In addition, Gefitinib significantly inhibited cell migration and invasion during wound healing and Transwell assays (Figure 1E, 1F).

The expression levels of Gefitinib target genes in LUAD

Gefitinib targets approximately 100 genes, primarily kinases (Table 1). Compared with normal lung tissues, 84 DEGs were identified in LUAD tissues (Table 2). The top 10 DEGs were visualized in a heatmap and histogram based on the fold change (Figure 2).

Biological functions, signaling mechanisms and protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks of the differentially expressed Gefitinib target genes (DEGTGs)

Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis revealed that the DEGTGs were significantly enriched in the intracellular signaling transduction, positive regulation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase activity, positive regulation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling, receptor signaling protein tyrosine kinase activity, kinase activity, signal transduction, vascular endothelial growth factor-activated receptor activity, positive regulation of cell migration, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor signaling pathway, receptor complex, positive regulation of mitogenactivated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade, cell migration, growth factor binding, regulation of cell proliferation, negative regulation of apoptotic process, cell cycle, JNK cascade and others (Figure 3A–3C and Supplementary Table 1). Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis revealed that the DEGTGs were enriched in the Rap1, Ras, MAPK, ERBB, PI3K-AKT, HIF-1, pathways in cancer, calcium, insulin, GnRH, and other signaling pathways (Figure 3D and Table 3). A PPI network constructed based on the DEGTGs is shown in Figure 4A, and the key genes in the PPI network are revealed in Figure 4B.

Construction of a nomogram based on the DEGTGs

Survival analysis revealed that AURKA, AURKB, FBP1, FGR, HCK, LRRK2, and SBK1 levels were associated with poor prognosis in LUAD patients (Table 4). Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis revealed that AURKA, AURKB, FBP1, FGR, HCK, LRRK2, and SBK1 had diagnostic values for LUAD, with the area under the curve (AUCs) of 0.961, 0.973, 0.910, 0.967, 0.895, 0.922, and 0.873, respectively. Based on the results of survival and ROC analysis, we constructed a nomogram based on the AURKA, AURKB, FBP1, FGR, HCK, LRRK2, and SBK1 (Figure 5).



Figure 1. Gefitinib could inhibit cancer cell growth and migration. (A–C) Cell viability; (D) Cell apoptosis; (E) Cell Migration; (F) Cell Invasion.

Table 1. Gefitinib target genes.

	Target genes							
HIPK4	IRAK4	RIPK2	FGFR1					
SBK1	PRKD1	ULK3	FBP1					
PIP4K2C	LYN	EPHB1	BRAF					
ERBB2	STK17B	IRAK3	AURKA					
ABL1	STK10	MAPK6	FLT4					
KIT	EPHA5	EPHB4	LRRK2					
FLT3	PHKG1	DMPK	PDGFRB					
EGFR	ABL2	NLK	AURKB					
RET	EPHA8	ABCG2	TEK					
BLK	MAPK9	PIM3	CLK1					
PHKG2	SLK	MINK1	TGFBR1					
DAPK3	MKNK2	CIT	MAP2K1					
CHEK2	FRK	LTK	JAK3					
LCK	STK36	NUAK2	DDR1					
MAPK10	GAK	SIK2	COQ8A					
SRC	TXK	MAPK4	INSR					
MYLK2	FGR	EPHA1	TNNI3K					
KDR	STK17A	EPHB6	MAPK14					
CSNK1D	EPHA6	ERBB3	DYRK1A					
ERBB4	TNIK	MAP3K2	PDGFRA					
CDK7	MKNK1	MAP3K3	HUNK					
RPS6KA4	AXL	DCLK3	FYN					
HCK	CSNK1E	MAP3K19	YES1					
IRAK1	MAP2K5	FLT1	RPS6KA1					
MET	EPHA3	EPHA2	CSK					

Table 2. 84 DEGs in LUAD tissues.

Gene	logFC	Р	Gene	logFC	Р
SIK2	-0.823022668	1.27E-19	EPHA1	1.021486338	2.65E-14
PRKD1	-0.815043872	7.85E-16	TXK	-0.199840205	6.13E-07
MKNK2	-0.437153109	4.11E-11	EPHB4	0.424531032	0.000179901
CSK	0.234775877	0.00016932	DAPK3	-0.191517716	0.006880896
FRK	1.104629696	3.57E-16	DCLK3	0.619636828	0.00052685
HIPK4	-0.450786343	1.84E-06	STK17B	-0.220423503	1.61E-05
ULK3	0.713039467	6.39E-15	PIM3	0.31973622	0.026381134
HCK	-1.165212956	1.95E-23	SBK1	2.687143232	4.93E-21
EPHA5	2.345418573	6.18E-07	AXL	-0.849954085	2.15E-20
HUNK	0.09674773	0.030787751	STK10	-0.636132433	8.03E-15
MAPK6	0.725789271	2.39E-12	LRRK2	-1.83742934	1.99E-26
FLT3	-0.1398755	0.00299809	EPHB1	1.659989452	0.000183369
EPHA8	4.28048008	1.29E-10	CIT	2.153606791	1.55E-10
RET	3.069514219	0.008169043	CHEK2	1.617485001	7.44E-28
TNNI3K	-0.062878035	0.000303105	JAK3	1.263929465	3.54E-18
ERBB2	1.17003348	7.02E-16	FLT1	-0.487731672	1.72E-06
GAK	0.456027428	1.55E-07	PDGFRB	-0.084970938	0.043391534
EPHB6	-0.725722081	6.65E-20	MAP3K3	-0.883369078	7.21E-27
MAPK4	-1.381170977	6.74E-26	MAPK14	0.221450042	0.000785059
PIP4K2C	0.769030841	2.34E-17	RPS6KA1	-0.437722081	2.89E-12

FLT4	-1.224022669	1.75E-20	RPS6KA4	0.475941746	5.32E-09
MAPK10	-0.858238831	5.28E-18	ABCG2	-1.89336698	5.70E-30
ABL1	0.147380872	0.049905786	STK36	0.772784045	8.06E-11
MAP3K19	-1.413469509	1.19E-07	NUAK2	0.816006757	2.61E-10
IRAK3	-0.501638979	1.69E-12	ERBB4	-1.508245411	3.70E-25
MET	1.424801167	0.000129599	FGFR1	-0.16161865	1.21E-08
IRAK1	1.354218442	6.37E-30	MAP2K5	-0.26725795	3.39E-10
RIPK2	0.4578374	0.000760452	MINK1	-0.448686448	1.12E-11
PDGFRA	-0.195864444	0.000547589	KIT	0.521094359	0.031286428
SLK	-0.148783403	0.000564055	INSR	0.386078443	0.00444343
LYN	0.428904666	1.71E-05	CSNK1D	0.394292094	6.97E-12
FBP1	-1.503007393	4.34E-25	CSNK1E	0.505317592	7.12E-09
ABL2	0.480008559	1.26E-06	SRC	0.681725458	4.50E-13
IRAK4	0.405731499	5.13E-08	CDK7	0.727250182	1.99E-21
PHKG2	0.969237137	1.19E-24	FYN	-0.47858826	8.94E-09
DDR1	0.937520074	1.48E-17	KDR	-0.522808212	1.90E-10
MAP3K2	0.30325161	0.010702075	AURKA	2.774021963	2.56E-31
FGR	-1.931309907	5.10E-32	MYLK2	0.958683785	4.57E-08
BLK	0.843421358	2.33E-05	TEK	-3.092499222	3.22E-35
AURKB	3.590111346	8.17E-33	ERBB3	0.956775604	6.37E-13
MKNK1	-0.094286595	0.041519321	DYRK1A	-0.03193067	0.030787751
TNIK	-0.287891618	4.90E-07	NLK	0.312268002	0.00010209

Abbreviations: LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma; DEGs: differentially expressed genes; FC: fold change.



Figure 2. The expression levels of Gefitinib target genes in LUAD tissues. (A) High expression; (B) Low expression. Abbreviations: LUAD: Lung adenocarcinoma; T: LUAD tissues; N: normal tissues.



Figure 3. Functions and mechanisms of the DEGTGs. (A) BP; (B) MF; (C) CC; (D) KEGG. Abbreviations: DEGTGs: differentially expressed Gefitinib target genes; GO: gene ontology; KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; BP: biological process; MF: molecular function; CC: cell component.



Figure 4. PPI network of the DEGTGs. (A) PPI network; (B) Hub DEGTGs in PPI network. Abbreviations: DEGTGs: differentially expressed Gefitinib target genes; PPI: protein-protein interaction.

Term	Pathways	Count	Р
hsa04015	Rap1 signaling pathway	13	1.84E-07
hsa04014	Ras signaling pathway	13	4.10E-07
hsa04722	Neurotrophin signaling pathway	10	7.75E-07
hsa05230	Central carbon metabolism in cancer	8	1.13E-06
hsa04010	MAPK signaling pathway	13	1.37E-06
hsa04510	Focal adhesion	11	9.51E-06
hsa04360	Axon guidance	9	1.24E-05
hsa04520	Adherens junction	7	3.15E-05
hsa04012	ErbB signaling pathway	7	9.96E-05
hsa05120	Epithelial cell signaling in Helicobacter pylori infection	6	2.72E-04
hsa04151	PI3K-Akt signaling pathway	11	7.06E-04
hsa04540	Gap junction	6	9.60E-04
hsa04066	HIF-1 signaling pathway	6	0.001419877
hsa05205	Proteoglycans in cancer	8	0.001653828
hsa05200	Pathways in cancer	11	0.001904711
hsa05131	Shigellosis	5	0.002249456
hsa04020	Calcium signaling pathway	7	0.004459107
hsa04910	Insulin signaling pathway	6	0.006817296
hsa04912	GnRH signaling pathway	5	0.007948726
hsa05152	Tuberculosis	6	0.018599898
hsa04664	Fc epsilon RI signaling pathway	4	0.021171958
hsa05169	Epstein-Barr virus infection	5	0.021410206
hsa05218	Melanoma	4	0.023707687
hsa04611	Platelet activation	5	0.026308393
hsa05133	Pertussis	4	0.027334651
hsa04068	FoxO signaling pathway	5	0.02899109
hsa04810	Regulation of actin cytoskeleton	6	0.035535444
hsa05215	Prostate cancer	4	0.041048942
hsa05219	Bladder cancer	3	0.049367551

Table 3. Signaling pathways of the DEGs in LUAD.

Abbreviations: LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma; DEGs: differentially expressed genes.

DEGs	HR	95% CI	Р
AURKA	1.51	1.11–2.05	0.009
AURKB	1.48	1.09–2.02	0.013
FBP1	0.57	0.42–0.78	< 0.001
FGR	0.63	0.46–0.86	0.003
HCK	0.72	0.53–0.98	0.037
LRRK2	0.73	0.53–0.99	0.044
SBK1	0.67	0.49–0.91	0.01

Abbreviations: LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma; HR: hazard ratio; DEGs: differentially expressed genes; CI: confidence interval.

Functions and clinical values involved in subgroups of the DEGTGs

When LUAD patients were classified based on the AURKA, AURKB, FBP1, FGR, HCK, LRRK2, and SBK1 expression levels and K = 2, PCA analysis revealed significant differences between cluster1 and

cluster2 (Figure 6). According to our screening criteria analysis, 93 DEGs were found in LUAD tissues of cluster 2 compared with cluster 1 (Table 5). 93 DEGs were significantly enriched in neutrophil-mediated cytotoxicity, regulation of cytokine biosynthetic process, killing of cells of other organisms, disruption of cells of other organisms, regulation of

Points	0 10 20	30 40	50 60	70 80	90 100
НСК	0 20 40 60 80) 100 120 140 160	180 200 220		
FBP1	400 350 300	250 200 150	100 50	0	
FGR	50 45 40	35 30 25	20 15	10 5	0
AURKB	45 35 25 15 5				
SBK1	45 40 35 30 25	5 20 15 10 5	0		
LRRK2	110 90 70 50	30 10 0			
AURKA	0 10 20	30 40 50 6	0 70 80	90 100	110 120 130
Total Points	0 50	100 150	200 250	300	350 400
1-year survival			0.9 0.8	3 0.7 0.6 0.5 0	4 0.3
3-year survival		0.9	0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4	4 0.3 0.2 0.10.05	
5-year survival		0.9 0.8 (0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2	0.10.05	

Figure 5. Nomogram of the DEGTGs in LUAD. Abbreviations: DEGTGs: differentially expressed Gefitinib target genes; LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma.



Figure 6. The clinical roles involved in subgroups of the DEGTGs in LUAD. Abbreviations: DEGTGs: differentially expressed Gefitinib target genes; LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma.

Gene	logFC	DFR	Gene	logFC	DFR	Gene	logFC	DFR
MYBPH	2.386784135	*	MAGEC1	-4.746262844	*	EIF4E1B	2.117324727	*
CSAG1	-2.121155629	*	HIST1H2BB	-2.024017432	***	RHCG	-2.887894921	**
OLFM4	-2.854804976	*	GBX2	-2.270227346	**	CEACAM8	2.373919231	***
CHRNB2	-2.648571164	*	HOXA9	-2.197847501	*	TAS2R19	-2.050800865	***
F2	-2.77987994	**	PCSK1	-3.499863036	***	UPK1B	-4.267455858	*
FGF5	-2.85157907	*	MUC2	-2.721128573	**	LVRN	-2.24465015	*
CERS3	-3.845929507	*	EN1	-2.674544647	*	AKR1B10	-2.587706792	**
DLX2	-2.204529845	*	CPA4	-2.235707486	**	PF4V1	-2.078641696	**
STXBP5L	-2.843404586	*	FGA	-3.320329815	**	GNRH2	-2.242805879	*
CDH7	-3.039737981	***	CYP4F3	-2.409142625	*	RSPO3	-2.364153182	*
ZMAT4	-2.068479897	*	OTOG	-2.091090919	***	UNC13A	-2.090243089	*
DSG1	-2.450943891	**	AFP	-6.392555822	**	INA	-2.039915212	**
FSTL5	-2.276301555	*	A2ML1	-4.325521213	***	TBR1	-3.109896148	***
ATP4A	-2.397331265	*	KCNH5	-2.848304727	*	CPS1	-2.851111003	*
CRABP1	-3.349924564	**	SPX	-2.490623817	*	SLC30A10	-4.590543663	**
KHDC1L	-3.588397669	**	MAGEA3	-3.016068656	*	BNC1	-3.674589844	*
RAB3B	-2.06611634	***	CYP2B6	2.975488322	*	LBP	-3.308232739	*
SLC13A5	-2.433197228	***	SOHLH1	-3.55661039	*	TRIM40	-2.736765196	**
FAM9B	-2.35516841	*	MEP1B	-2.120697973	*	PAH	-3.119569601	***
IGF2BP1	-2.730067985	*	BEST3	-2.441217072	**	MAGEA12	-2.240085198	*
INHA	-2.481472865	***	HOXA13	-2.248089536	**	ADGRF2	-2.28498311	**
ABCC2	-2.539707872	**	CNTNAP4	-3.098050369	*	GABRQ	-2.155966809	*
ETNPPL	-2.154731918	**	KLK2	-2.235050846	*	TMPRSS11A	-2.985547264	***
FAM163A	-2.068836158	**	FOXD1	-2.16123686	**	KCNC1	-2.191200945	*
HOXB9	-2.678736573	*	ALDOB	2.218355443	*	AC008763.3	2.244368079	***
MSMB	-2.544367684	*	PI3	-2.921061292	***	EVX1	-2.083636412	*
DKK1	-2.17495906	*	GNG4	-2.044720885	***	HIST1H4C	-2.297578339	**
HEPHL1	-2.404922517	*	SLC6A2	-2.027957245	**	ELANE	2.228845734	***
KRT6A	-2.767443824	**	KRT6C	-2.712748642	***	CHGB	-2.082132761	*
AZU1	2.289748111	***	HIST1H3F	-2.275440985	*	GRM4	-2.245814212	**
PATE2	-2.538391387	***	CHRNA9	-3.192651727	**	RETN	2.013746243	***

Table 5. The DEGs in the LUAD tissues of clusters 1 and 2.

Abbreviation: LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma; **P* < 0.05; ***P* < 0.01; ****P* < 0.001.

neurotransmitter levels, cytokine biosynthetic process, cytokine metabolic process, positive regulation of response to drug, drug transport, cytolysis, hormone activity and others (Figure 7 and Supplementary Table 2). Cox regression analysis revealed that the expression levels of OLFM4, CDH7, CRABP1, RAB3B, SLC13A5, IGF2BP1, INHA, ABCC2, DKK1, KRT6A, MAGEC1, FGA, SPX, HOXA13, KRT6C, UPK1B, CPS1, and EVX1 were significantly associated with the OS in LUAD (Figure 8A). The levels of OLFM4, CDH7, CRABP1, RAB3B, SLC13A5, IGF2BP1, INHA, ABCC2, DKK1, KRT6A, MAGEC1, FGA, SPX, HOXA13, KRT6C, UPK1B, CPS1, and EVX1 in normal tissues and LUAD tissues are shown in a heatmap and histogram (Figure 8B, 8C).

Risk model of the DEGTGs

Univariate Cox regression analysis revealed that the expression levels of FBP1, FGR, AURKB, SBK1,

LRRK2 and AURKA were significantly correlated with the OS of LUAD (Figure 9A). Multivariate COX regression analysis and the AIC method revealed that FBP1, SBK1, and AURKA were independent predictors of OS and were the influencing factors of the risk model (Figure 9B). Moreover, we found that Gefitinib promoted FBP1 mRNA and protein expression and inhibited the SBK1 and AURKA mRNA and protein expression in PC9 cells (Supplementary Figure 1). In addition, high-risk patients had a poor prognosis with the risk model based on FBP1, SBK1, and AURKA (Figure 9C-9E). Therefore, we constructed a nomogram for Gefitinib target genes FBP1. SBK1. and AURKA (Supplementary Figure 2). Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis revealed that the risk score and clinical stage correlated with poor prognosis in LUAD patients (Figure 10A, 10B). Therefore, we constructed a risk model-related nomogram (Figure 10C).

Signaling mechanisms involved in risk model

In the risk model based on FBP1, SBK1 and AURKA, the high-risk score group was enriched in the cell cycle, oocyte meiosis, RNA degradation, basal transcription factors, spliceosome, ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis, homologous recombination, mismatch repair, p53 signaling pathway, regulation of autophagy, DNA replication, base excision repair and others (Table 6).

The risk score correlated with the immune microenvironment in LUAD

As shown in Figure 11, the risk score was significantly correlated with the immune score, estimate score, stromal score, and immune cells, including memory B cells, plasma cells, CD8 T cells, resting CD4 memory T cells, activated CD4 memory T cells, follicular helper T cells, regulatory T cells (Tregs), gamma delta T cells,



Figure 7. Functions involved in subgroups of the DEGTGs in LUAD. (A) BP; (B) CC; (C) MF. Abbreviations: DEGTGs: differentially expressed Gefitinib target genes; GO: gene ontology; KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; BP: biological process; MF: molecular function; CC: cell component; LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma.

resting NK cells, activated NK cells, monocytes, M1 macrophages, M2 macrophages, resting dendritic cells, resting mast cells, and activated mast cells (Figure 12). The expression levels of naïve B cells, memory B cells, resting dendritic cells, monocytes, M1 macrophages, plasma cells, resting mast cells, gamma delta T cells, activated CD4 memory T cells, resting NK cells, resting low-risk groups were significantly different (Supplementary Figure 3).

The risk score was significantly correlated with immune cell markers such as CSF1R, STAT5A, STAT5B, ITGAM, BCL6, CD274 (PD-L1), ITGAX, CD8B, and

others (Figure 13 and Supplementary Figure 4). In addition, immune cell markers such as CSF1R, STAT5A, STAT5B, ITGAM, BCL6, CD274, ITGAX, CD8B, CD1C, HLA-DPB1, CD8A, NRP1, GZMB, CEACAM8, HLA-DRA, KIR3DL3, IRF5, TGFB1, HLA-DPA1, IL21, HLA-DQB1, KIR2DL3, and TNF were significantly different between the high- and low-risk groups (Supplementary Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

It is well-established that Gefitinib can improve the prognosis of patients with EGFR-mutant LUAD.



Figure 8. The prognosis values and levels of the DEGTGs in LUAD. (A) Prognosis related genes; (B, C) The levels of DEGTGs in LUAD. Abbreviations: DEGTGs: differentially expressed Gefitinib target genes; LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma.

Current evidence suggests that compared with chemotherapy alone, chemotherapy combined with Gefitinib could improve the PFS, ORR, and OS in LUAD patients with EGFR mutations [6]. In the present study, we found that with an increase in Gefitinib concentration, the proliferation ability of LUAD PC9 cells decreased, the apoptosis rate raised significantly, and cell migration and invasion were inhibited. Moreover, Gefitinib target genes are significantly enriched in intracellular signal transduction, positive regulation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase activity, positive regulation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling, receptor signaling protein tyrosine kinase activity, kinase activity, signaling transduction, vascular endothelial growth factor-activated receptor activity, positive regulation of cell migration, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor signaling pathway, receptor complex, positive regulation of MAPK cascade, cell migration, growth factor binding, regulation of cell proliferation, negative regulation of apoptotic process, cell cycle, JNK cascade, etc. These findings indicate that Gefitinib has important anticancer effects against the progression of LUAD.

There were 100 Gefitinib target genes in the SwissTargetPrediction database, which were mainly kinases and most targets were the DEGs in LUAD

tissues. The levels of Gefitinib targets AURKA, AURKB, FBP1, FGR, HCK, LRRK2, and SBK1, were associated with a poor prognosis in patients with LUAD and had diagnostic values for LUAD. Cox regression analysis revealed that the expression levels of FBP1, SBK1 and AURKA correlated with the OS and the risk model in LUAD. In PC9 cells, Gefitinib could promote FBP1 expression and inhibit SBK1 and AURKA levels. An increasing body of evidence suggests that FBP1, SBK1 and AURKA are associated with lung cancer progression [10-15]. For instance, FBP1 was found to be downregulated in lung cancer tissues and cells. Decreased levels of FBP1 expression were associated with poor prognosis in lung cancer patients. Activation of FBP1 expression could inhibit glucose uptake and lactate production, induce oxygen consumption capacity, and inhibit lung cancer cell proliferation and invasion under hypoxic conditions in vitro and lung cancer growth in vivo [10]. High expression of AURKA correlated with shorter OS in lung cancer patients. Inhibition of AURKA expression reduced lung cancer cell growth and enhanced the sensitivity of lung cancer cells to radiation [13]. Upon inhibition of long non-coding RNA ENST000001520 in BEAS-2B cells, a notable decrease is observed in cell migration and proliferation abilities, accompanied by an increase in apoptosis capabilities. These changes can be attributed



Figure 9. Risk model of the DEGTGs in LUAD. (A) Risk genes that affect patient prognosis in LUAD; (B–E) Patients with higher risk scores have the poor prognosis. Abbreviations: DEGTGs: differentially expressed Gefitinib target genes; LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma.

to the downregulation of SBK1 and SOCS3 mRNA and protein expression [14]. This finding indicated that Gefitinib could affect FBP1, SBK1 and AURKA expression to inhibit LUAD progression.

In recent years, risk models have been used to assess the prognosis of patients with LUAD [2, 16–18]. For example, XRCC4, XRCC5 and XRCC6 were identified as the risk factors affecting the prognosis of patients with LUAD. A risk model based on XRCC4, XRCC5 and XRCC6 showed that the risk score was related to the prognosis, gender, clinical stage, T stage, lymph node metastasis and metastasis of LUAD patients and was an independent risk factor for the prognosis of

LUAD patients [2]. The risk model and nomogram constructed based on Gefitinib target genes FBP1, SBK1, and AURKA were risk factors associated with poor prognosis of LUAD patients. The risk score was significantly correlated with the immune score, estimate score, stromal score, memory B cells, plasma cells, CD8T cells, resting CD4 memory T cells, activated CD4 memory T cells, follicular helper T cells, Tregs, gamma delta T cells, resting NK cells, activated NK cells, monocytes, M1 macrophages, M2 macrophages, resting dendritic cells, resting mast cells, and activated mast cells, and with CSF1R, STAT5A, STAT5B, ITGAM, BCL6, PD-L1, ITGAX, CD8B, and other immune cell marker levels.



Figure 10. Prognosis-related risk scores and nomogram in LUAD. (A, B) Cox regression analysis that risk score is an independent risk factor for poor prognosis in LUAD patients; (C) Nomogram. Abbreviations: LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma.

Table 6.	Signaling	pathways	s in LUAD	of the high	-risk group	in the ri	sk model.
Tubic 0.	Jenning	patrivay		or the lingh	I I I SIX BI O'MP		SK moden

Name	Size	NES	Nom p
Cell cycle	124	2.328981	0
Oocyte meiosis	112	2.1046698	0
RNA degradation	57	2.085798	0
Pyrimidine metabolism	97	2.0830867	0
Basal transcription factors	35	2.0283968	0
Spliceosome	126	2.0177684	0
Ubiquitin mediated proteolysis	133	1.9816493	0
DNA replication	36	1.9667591	0
Homologous recombination	28	1.9288028	0.00407332
Mismatch repair	23	1.9153036	0.008492569
Proteasome	44	1.912825	0.002074689
Nucleotide excision repair	44	1.8854126	0.008492569
Progesterone mediated oocyte maturation	85	1.856405	0
One carbon pool by folate	17	1.8456696	0.006060606
P53 signaling pathway	68	1.8163487	0.004219409
Purine metabolism	156	1.7766677	0.006276151
N glycan biosynthesis	46	1.7451223	0.011904762
Regulation of autophagy	35	1.6964251	0.008438818
Cysteine and methionine metabolism	34	1.6731151	0.013779528
Protein export	23	1.6567074	0.036608864
Small cell lung cancer	84	1.6488291	0.020449897
Riboflavin metabolism	15	1.6322458	0.024
Base excision repair	33	1.6144714	0.042918455



Figure 11. Risk score is related to the immune, estimate, and stromal scores in LUAD. (A) Immune score; (B) Estimate score; (C) Stromal score. Abbreviation: LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma.

Overall, we explored the roles of Gefitinib against LUAD progression using bioinformatics and cellular experiments and found that the risk model constructed based on the Gefitinib target genes FBP1, SBK1, and AURKA was associated with a dismal prognosis and was closely related to the immune microenvironment. However, the relationship between EGFR mutations and Gefitinib target genes (FBP1, SBK1, and AURKA) warrants further investigation, and cancer tissues were collected to confirm the relationship between the risk model and nomogram and the prognosis of LUAD patients in the future. In addition, we will further investigate the impact of down-regulating or promoting FBP1, SBK1, and



Figure 12. Risk model is related to the immune cells in LUAD. (A) B cells memory; (B) Plasma cells; (C) T cells CD8; (D) T cells CD4 memory activated; (F) T cells follicular helper; (G) T cells regulatory (Tregs); (H) T cells gamma delta; (I) NK cells resting; (J) NK cells activated; (K) Monocytes; (L) Macrophages M1; (M) Macrophages M2; (N) Dendritic cells resting; (O) Mast cells resting; (P) Mast cells activated. Abbreviation: LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma.

AURKA expression in PC9 cells on lung adenocarcinoma in the future. In general, Gefitinib could inhibit the proliferation, migration and invasion, promote the apoptosis of LUAD PC9 cells and the expression of FBP1, and inhibit the expression of SBK1 and AURKA. High-risk LUAD patients based on the FBP1, SBK1, and AURKA had a poor prognosis. Our risk model and nomogram based on the FBP1, SBK1 and AURKA were associated with poor prognosis and immune cell infiltration levels in LUAD patients, suggesting their significant value in evaluating the prognosis of LUAD patients.



Figure 13. Risk model related to the immune cell markers in LUAD. (A) CSF1R; (B) STAT5A; (C) STAT5B; (D) ITGAM; (E) BCL6; (F) CD274; (G) ITGAX; (H) CD8B; (I) HLA-DPB1; (J) CD8A; (K) TGFB1; (L) HLA-DPA1; (M) IL21; (N) HLA-DQB1; (O) S4A4A; (P) CCR7; (Q) CD1C; (R) STAT3; (S) NRP1; (T)GZMB. Abbreviation: LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma.

CONCLUSIONS

The present results indicate that Gefitinib could inhibit the proliferation, migration and invasion, promote the apoptosis of LUAD PC9 cells and the expression of FBP1, and inhibit the expression of SBK1 and AURKA. High-risk LUAD patients based on the FBP1, SBK1, and AURKA had a poor prognosis. Our risk model and nomogram based on the FBP1, SBK1 and AURKA were associated with poor prognosis and immune cell infiltration levels in LUAD patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

PC9 cell culture and construction of cell models

The PC9 cell line was cultured in the RPMI-1640 with 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C in an incubator with 5% CO₂. The PC9 cells were cultured in 6-well plates for 24 h in an incubator, then 0, 0.002, 0.02, 0.2, and 2 μ M of Gefitinib were added.

Cell growth

The effects of Gefitinib on the proliferation and apoptosis of PC9 cells were detected by CCK-8 and flow cytometry. The experimental steps of CCK-8 were as follows: cell counting and 96-well plate plating, and 0, 0.002, 0.02, 0.2 and 2 µM Gefitinib were added to incubate cells. The CCK-8 solution at 24, 48, and 72 h incubation, and reading after 1 h incubation to appraisal the absorbance values of each group. The flow cytometry experiment steps were as follows: cell counting, and 6-well plate plating. 0, 0.002, 0.02, 0.2, and 2 uM Gefitinib were added to incubate cells, and stained according to the manufacturer's instructions. The apoptotic PC9 cells were immediately detected using the FACS Caliber II Sorter and the Cell Quest FACS system (BD Biosciences, USA). The data were analyzed using FlowJo software (Version: 7.6.5).

Cell migration and invasion

In 6 well plate, the clean tip of pipette is used to create "wound" when cells form confluent monolayer, and cells cultured in RPMI-1640 were supplemented with 0% fetal bovine serum. Transfected cells were used to capture the image of wound edge with optical microscope at 0 h. After 24 h of incubation, the cell images of the same area were captured for measurement, and the wound healing rate was calculated [19]. Transfected cells were investigated for transwell migration. The basal lateral chamber was balanced with 600 μ L RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum, while the apical chamber was added with 200 μ L PC9 cell suspension without FBS. After 24 h of incubation at 37°C and 5% CO₂. According to the manufacturer's agreement of transwell System, fix the transwell chamber with 5% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, and then dye it with 0.1% crystal violet for 10 min. Transmembrane cells were calculated under inverted fluorescence microscope [19].

Acquisition and identification of Gefitinib target genes

The secondary structure of Gefitinib was obtained from the official website of the PubChem database, and then the target genes of Gefitinib were obtained from the SwissTargetPrediction database using the structure of Gefitinib. The transcriptome data of 59 normal tissues and 535 LUAD tissues were downloaded from the official website of The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database, and the gene expression data of Gefitinib targets in normal tissues and cancer tissues were extracted and analyzed using the Limma package.

Biological functions, signaling mechanisms and PPI network of DEGTGs

A *P*-value < 0.05 was used to screen for significant DEGTGs in LUAD tissues. The DEGTGs underwent GO and KEGG analyses to investigate the biological functions and signaling mechanisms of Gefitinib target genes. A PPI network of the DEGTGs was constructed in the STRING database. The PPI network was visualized by Cytoscape software, and the key genes were selected by the degree method using the CytoHubba plugin [19, 20].

Construction of a nomogram of prognostic-related Gefitinib target genes

The data of DEGTGs were merged with the prognostic information of LUAD patients, and patients with incomplete prognostic information were excluded. The patients were stratified based on the median value of the DEGTGs, and the relationship between the expression level of DEGTGs and prognosis was identified using survival analysis. The diagnostic value of prognostic genes AURKA, AURKB, FBP1, FGR, HCK, LRRK2, and SBK1 for LUAD was assessed using the ROC analysis. A prognostic nomogram of the Gefitinib target genes was constructed based on survival and ROC analysis results.

The roles of Gefitinib target gene subgroups in LUAD using consensus clustering

The gene expression data of AURKA, AURKB, FBP1, FGR, HCK, LRRK2, and SBK1 in LUAD tissues from

TCGA were extracted. The Consensus ClusterPlus package clustered LUAD patients and determined the optimal k value to group the LUAD patients. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to explore the differences between subgroups constructed based on the AURKA, AURKB, FBP1, FGR, HCK, LRRK2, and SBK1. The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the tissues of the two groups of LUAD patients were identified using the screening criteria logFC (>2 or <-2) and FDR < 0.05. The biological functions and signaling mechanisms involved in DEGs in the subgroups of Gefitinib target genes were explored using GO and KEGG analyses. The relationship between DEGs in the subgroups of Gefitinib target genes and OS of LUAD patients was analyzed using COX regression analysis, and the expression of prognostic genes in normal lung tissues and LUAD tissues was visualized.

Construction of risk model based on the DEGTGs

Univariate Cox regression analysis was used to reveal the relationship between the gene expression levels of DEGTGs AURKA, AURKB, FBP1, FGR, HCK, LRRK2, and SBK1 and OS in patients with LUAD, with P < 0.05 as the screening criteria. Then, the relationship between the expression levels of FBP1, FGR, AURKB, SBK1, LRRK2, and AURKA and the OS of LUAD patients was analyzed using multivariate COX regression analysis and the Akaike information criterion (AIC) method. The effects of Gefitinib on AURKA, FBP1, and SBK1 were assessed by qRT-PCR and western blotting, and a risk model was established based on these results.

qRT-PCR

Trizol (Thermo Fisher Scientific, China) was used to isolate total RNA from the PC9 cells after supplementing Gefitinib, and total RNAs were reverse-transcribed into cDNA using the Revertra Ace qPCR RT Kit (Toyobo life science, Japan). The UltraSYBR mixture (cwbio, China) was used to complete the PCR cycles using a StepOne Plus Real-Time PCR System (ABI, USA). The change in relative AURKA, FBP1 and SBK1 mRNA expression levels were calculated using the $2^{\Delta\Delta ct}$ method. The primer sequences of AURKA, FBP1 and SBK1, and β-actin used were as follows. AURKA forward: 5'-GTGGAGCATCAGCTCAGAAGA-3' and reverse 5'-ACTGTTCCAAGTGGTGCATA-3'; FBP1 forward: 5'-ATCGATTGCCTTGTGTCCGT-3' and reverse 5'-AAGCAGTTGACCCCACAGTC-3'; SBK1 forward: 5'-ACGTCACCAAGCA CTACGAA-3' and reverse 5'-GTGATGCTCACCTCCCGTAG-3'; β-actin forward 5'-ACTCTTCCAGCCTTCCTTCC-3' and reverse 5'-CGTCA TACTCCTGCTTGCTG-3'.

Western blotting

Total protein was isolated from PC9 cells by using cell lysate after Gefitinib supplementation. Protein gel electrophoresis was performed after quantitative analysis using the BCA method. After electroporation, the PVDF film was cut and incubated overnight at low temperature with the primary antibody. The second antibody was incubated, and the protein was exposed the following day. The primary antibodies used, along with their respective concentrations, were the 1:3000 FBP1 (Proteintech, China), 1:1000 SBK1 (HUABIO, China), 1:1000 AURKA (HUABIO, China), and 1:10000 GAPDH (Proteintech, China).

Construction of a nomogram of risk model

Univariate and multivariate COX regression analysis was used to reveal the relationship between the age, gender, clinical stage, T stage, N stage, M stage, risk score, and prognosis of cancer patients. Finally, a risk model related to the nomogram was constructed.

Signaling mechanisms of the risk model

Gene Set enrichment analysis (GSEA) is a commonly used method to reveal the functions and mechanisms involved in the risk models [21–23]. In our study, we divided the gene expression data of LUAD patients into high- and low-risk groups. The impact of highand low-risk groups was analyzed on each gene set to reveal the signaling mechanism involved in the risk model in the GSEA software [21–23].

Analysis of the relationship between the risk model and the LUAD immune microenvironment

The gene expression data in 535 LUAD tissues were immunoscored by using the CIBERSORT and estimate methods. Correlation analysis was used to reveal the relationship between the risk score and the levels of immune cells, stromal score, immune score, estimate score and immune cell markers in LUAD. The levels of immune cells, stromal score, immune score, estimate score, and immune cell markers in the high- and lowrisk groups were explored in LUAD.

Statistical analysis

The significance of the effect of Gefitinib on LUAD cell growth and migration and the difference in the expression of the immune cells, stromal score, immune score, estimate score and immune cell markers in highand low-risk groups were identified using the Student's *t*-test. Survival and Cox regression analyses were used to assess the prognostic value of Gefitinib target genes. The diagnostic values of Gefitinib target genes for LUAD were identified using the ROC analysis. The AUC close to 1 was associated with a good diagnostic value. A P-value < 0.05 was statistically significant.

Availability of data and materials

The data were acquired from publicly available databases, and additional data could be obtained from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Abbreviations

LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma; PFS: progression-free survival; OS: overall survival; TCGA: the cancer genome atlas; DEGTGs: differentially expressed Gefitinib target genes; GO: gene ontology; KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; PPI: protein-protein interaction; PCA: Principal component analysis; DEG: differentially expressed genes.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Qiang Guo, Kai Li, and Ni Jiang processed the data for the study and wrote the first draft. Chuang-Yan Wu, Rui Zhou, and Xin-Rui Rao performed experiments. Qiang Guo and Chuang-Yan Wu edited the language of the article. Chuang-Yan Wu and Qiang Guo formulated research ideas and programs and supervised the implementation of the program.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to team members for their support and the open data provided by the TCGA database.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest related to this study.

FUNDING

Our research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 82100115, 82070431, 82100299, and 82100116) and the Natural Science Foundation of Hubei (No. 2020CFB392, and 2020CFB818).

REFERENCES

 Wang S, Wang Q, Fan B, Gong J, Sun L, Hu B, Wang D. Machine learning-based screening of the diagnostic genes and their relationship with immune-cell infiltration in patients with lung adenocarcinoma. J Thorac Dis. 2022; 14:699–711. <u>https://doi.org/10.21037/jtd-22-206</u> PMID:35399247

- Zhang QX, Yang Y, Yang H, Guo Q, Guo JL, Liu HS, Zhang J, Li D. The roles of risk model based on the 3-XRCC genes in lung adenocarcinoma progression. Transl Cancer Res. 2021; 10:4413–31. <u>https://doi.org/10.21037/tcr-21-1431</u> PMID:<u>35116299</u>
- Cai W, Ni W, Jin Y, Li Y. TRIP13 promotes lung cancer cell growth and metastasis through AKT/mTORC1/c-Myc signaling. Cancer Biomark. 2021; 30:237–48. <u>https://doi.org/10.3233/CBM-200039</u> PMID:<u>33136091</u>
- Li Q, Huang X, Li QY, Tang Y, Liang LB, Luo Q, Gong M, Song YX, Guo Q, Chen C. CENPH overexpression promotes the progression, cisplatin resistance, and poor prognosis of lung adenocarcinoma via the AKT and ERK/P38 pathways. Am J Cancer Res. 2023; 13:1682–97. PMID:37293159
- Chen Y, Tang J, Lu T, Liu F. CAPN1 promotes malignant behavior and erlotinib resistance mediated by phosphorylation of c-Met and PIK3R2 via degrading PTPN1 in lung adenocarcinoma. Thorac Cancer. 2020; 11:1848–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1759-7714.13465</u> PMID:32395869
- Han B, Jin B, Chu T, Niu Y, Dong Y, Xu J, Gu A, Zhong H, Wang H, Zhang X, Shi C, Zhang Y, Zhang W, et al. Combination of chemotherapy and gefitinib as firstline treatment for patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma and sensitive EGFR mutations: A randomized controlled trial. Int J Cancer. 2017; 141:1249–56.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.30806 PMID:28560853

- Lou L, Wang J, Lv F, Wang G, Li Y, Xing L, Shen H, Zhang X. Y-box binding protein 1 (YB-1) promotes gefitinib resistance in lung adenocarcinoma cells by activating AKT signaling and epithelial-mesenchymal transition through targeting major vault protein (MVP). Cell Oncol (Dordr). 2021; 44:109–33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13402-020-00556-y</u> PMID:<u>32894437</u>
- Ding J, Ding X, Leng Z. LPCAT1 promotes gefitinib resistance via upregulation of the EGFR/PI3K/AKT signaling pathway in lung adenocarcinoma. J Cancer. 2022; 13:1837–47.

https://doi.org/10.7150/jca.66126 PMID:<u>35399731</u>

- 9. Wu H, Xu L, Hu X. KDM5A regulates the growth and gefitinib drug resistance against human lung adenocarcinoma cells. 3 Biotech. 2022; 12:97. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-021-03018-w</u> PMID:<u>35371900</u>
- Zhang J, Wang J, Xing H, Li Q, Zhao Q, Li J. Downregulation of FBP1 by ZEB1-mediated repression confers to growth and invasion in lung cancer cells. Mol Cell Biochem. 2016; 411:331–40. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11010-015-2595-8</u> PMID:<u>26546081</u>
- 11. Cong J, Wang X, Zheng X, Wang D, Fu B, Sun R, Tian Z, Wei H. Dysfunction of Natural Killer Cells by FBP1-Induced Inhibition of Glycolysis during Lung Cancer Progression. Cell Metab. 2018; 28:243–55.e5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2018.06.021</u> PMID:<u>30033198</u>
- Wang J, Hu T, Wang Q, Chen R, Xie Y, Chang H, Cheng J. Repression of the AURKA-CXCL5 axis induces autophagic cell death and promotes radiosensitivity in non-small-cell lung cancer. Cancer Lett. 2021; 509:89–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2021.03.028 PMID:33848520
- Liu N, Wang YA, Sun Y, Ecsedy J, Sun J, Li X, Wang P. Inhibition of Aurora A enhances radiosensitivity in selected lung cancer cell lines. Respir Res. 2019; 20:230. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12931-019-1194-8</u> PMID:<u>31647033</u>
- 14. Li Z, Zhang Y, Meng L, Yang S, Zhang P, Zhang J, Li C, Feng F, Zhang Q. LncRNA-ENST00000501520 promotes the proliferation of malignant-transformed BEAS-2B cells induced with coal tar pitch mediated by target genes. Environ Toxicol. 2019; 34:869–77. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/tox.22759</u> PMID:<u>31033183</u>
- 15. Hu ZW, Sun W, Wen YH, Ma RQ, Chen L, Chen WQ, Lei WB, Wen WP. CD69 and SBK1 as potential predictors of responses to PD-1/PD-L1 blockade cancer immunotherapy in lung cancer and melanoma. Front Immunol. 2022; 13:952059. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.952059</u> PMID:<u>36045683</u>
- 16. Ren Q, Zhang P, Lin H, Feng Y, Chi H, Zhang X, Xia Z, Cai H, Yu Y. A novel signature predicts prognosis and immunotherapy in lung adenocarcinoma based on cancer-associated fibroblasts. Front Immunol. 2023; 14:1201573. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1201573</u> PMID:37325647
- 17. Li F, Ge D, Sun SL. A novel ferroptosis-related genes model for prognosis prediction of lung adeno-

carcinoma. BMC Pulm Med. 2021; 21:229. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12890-021-01588-2 PMID:<u>34256754</u>

- 18. Wu J, Li L, Zhang H, Zhao Y, Zhang H, Wu S, Xu B. A risk model developed based on tumor microenvironment predicts overall survival and associates with tumor immunity of patients with lung adenocarcinoma. Oncogene. 2021; 40:4413–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-021-01853-y</u> PMID:<u>34108619</u>
- Xiao XY, Guo Q, Tong S, Wu CY, Chen JL, Ding Y, Wan JH, Chen SS, Wang SH. TRAT1 overexpression delays cancer progression and is associated with immune infiltration in lung adenocarcinoma. Front Oncol. 2022; 12:960866. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.960866</u> PMID:<u>36276113</u>
- 20. Chin CH, Chen SH, Wu HH, Ho CW, Ko MT, Lin CY. cytoHubba: identifying hub objects and sub-networks from complex interactome. BMC Syst Biol. 2014 (Suppl 4); 8:S11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-0509-8-S4-S11</u> PMID:25521941
- Zhang Y, Tang M, Guo Q, Xu H, Yang Z, Li D. The value of erlotinib related target molecules in kidney renal cell carcinoma via bioinformatics analysis. Gene. 2022; 816:146173. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2021.146173</u> PMID:<u>35026294</u>
- Guo Q, Xiao XY, Wu CY, Li D, Chen JL, Ding XC, Cheng C, Chen CR, Tong S, Wang SH. Clinical Roles of Risk Model Based on Differentially Expressed Genes in Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Prognosis and Immunity of Non-small Cell Lung Cancer. Front Genet. 2022; 13:823075. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.823075</u>

PMID:35281822

23. Wang E, Li Y, Ming R, Wei J, Du P, Zhou P, Zong S, Xiao H. The Prognostic Value and Immune Landscapes of a m⁶A/m⁵C/m¹A-Related LncRNAs Signature in Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021; 9:718974. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.718974 PMID:34917609

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1. Gefitinib could regulate the levels of FBP1, AURKA, and SBK1 in PC9 cells. (A–C) mRNA levels; (D) Protein levels.

Points	0	10		20	3	0	40	50	60		70	80	90	100
FBP1	400	3	350		300		250	200		150	10)	50	0
SBK1	45	40 35	30	25	20 15	10	5 0							
AURKA	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90 1	00 11	0 120	130	
Total Points	Г. 0	20		 D	60	80	100	120	140	16	 D 18) 20	0 220	 240
1−year survival								0.9		0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5 0.4	
3−year survival				0.9		0	.8 (0.7 0	.6 0.5	0.4	0.3 0.3	2 0.1	0.05	
5-year survival				٦ 0.8	8	0.7	0.6 0	.5 0.4	0.3 (0.2	0.1 0.05			

Supplementary Figure 2. Nomogram of risk model genes.



Supplementary Figure 3. The levels of immune cells in high-risk and low-risk in the risk model. (A) B cells memory; (B) B cells naive; (C) Dendritic cells resting; (D) Macrophages M1; (E) Monocytes; (F) Mast cells resting; (G) Plasma cells; (H) NK cells activated; (I) T cells gamma delta; (J) T cells CD4 memory activated; (K) T cells CD8; (L) NK cells resting; (M) Regulatory T cells (Tregs); (N) T cells CD4 memory resting.



Supplementary Figure 4. Risk model related to the immune cell markers in LUAD. (A) CEACAM8; (B) HLA-DPA1; (C) FOXP3; (D) IRF5; (E) CD19; (F) IFNG; (G) LAG3; (H) STAT1; (I) KIR2DL4; (J) STAT6; (K) VSIG4; (L) GATA3. Abbreviation: LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma.



Supplementary Figure 5. Cell markers in high- and low-risk groups.

Supplementary Tables

Su	DI	olementary	/ Table	1.	Functions	of	the	DEG	TGs.
	~ 1	orennennear j	10010	_		•••			

GO	Term	Category	Count	Р
MF	GO:0005524	ATP binding	83	4.55E-86
BP	GO:0046777	Protein autophosphorylation	47	1.67E-71
MF	GO:0004672	Protein kinase activity	46	1.02E-53
BP	GO:0006468	Protein phosphorylation	47	1.92E-50
MF	GO:0004674	Protein serine/threonine kinase activity	42	7.15E-46
BP	GO:0018108	Peptidyl-tyrosine phosphorylation	32	8.93E-43
MF	GO:0004713	Protein tyrosine kinase activity	26	1.76E-33
BP	GO:0007169	Transmembrane receptor protein tyrosine kinase signaling pathway	22	1.41E-29
MF	GO:0004714	Transmembrane receptor protein tyrosine kinase activity	16	8.76E-26
BP	GO:0038083	Peptidyl-tyrosine autophosphorylation	16	2.44E-25
MF	GO:0004715	Non-membrane spanning protein tyrosine kinase activity	14	3.25E-20
BP	GO:0043552	Positive regulation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase activity	11	2.01E-16
BP	GO:0035556	Intracellular signal transduction	22	3.37E-16
CC	GO:0031234	Extrinsic component of cytoplasmic side of plasma membrane	12	1.10E-14
BP	GO:0014068	Positive regulation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling	12	1.57E-14
MF	GO:0004716	Receptor signaling protein tyrosine kinase activity	7	2.43E-12
BP	GO:0000165	MAPK cascade	16	2.50E-12
BP	GO:0043406	Positive regulation of map kinase activity	10	1.16E-11
MF	GO:0005515	Protein binding	73	2.03E-11
BP	GO:0018105	Peptidyl-serine phosphorylation	12	2.55E-11
MF	GO:0005088	Ras guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor activity	11	2.32E-10
BP	GO:0010863	Positive regulation of phospholipase C activity	6	3.24E-10
BP	GO:0001934	Positive regulation of protein phosphorylation	11	6.53E-10
CC	GO:0005829	Cytosol	40	1.71E-09
MF	GO:0016301	Kinase activity	13	2.16E-09
BP	GO:0014066	Regulation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling	9	4.47E-09
BP	GO:0007165	Signal transduction	24	5.50E-09
BP	GO:0048013	Ephrin receptor signaling pathway	9	9.80E-09
MF	GO:0005021	Vascular endothelial growth factor-activated receptor activity	5	1.88E-08
BP	GO:0046854	Phosphatidylinositol phosphorylation	9	1.99E-08
MF	GO:0046934	Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase activity	8	2.12E-08
BP	GO:0045087	Innate immune response	15	2.23E-08
BP	GO:0030335	Positive regulation of cell migration	11	2.38E-08
CC	GO:0005737	Cytoplasm	49	2.58E-08
BP	GO:0000187	Activation of mapk activity	9	5.54E-08
BP	GO:0048010	Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor signaling pathway	8	6.33E-08
CC	GO:0043235	Receptor complex	9	1.13E-07
BP	GO:0043410	Positive regulation of mapk cascade	8	1.44E-07
BP	GO:0016477	Cell migration	10	1.73E-07
MF	GO:0019838	Growth factor binding	6	1.89E-07
BP	GO:2000145	Regulation of cell motility	6	2.35E-07
BP	GO:0042127	Regulation of cell proliferation	10	3.21E-07
BP	GO:0043066	Negative regulation of apoptotic process	14	3.37E-07
MF	GO:0004707	MAP kinase activity	5	5.24E-07

MF	GO:0000287	Magnesium ion binding	10	6.99E-07
BP	GO:0048015	Phosphatidylinositol-mediated signaling	8	9.16E-07
MF	GO:0005102	Receptor binding	12	1.20E-06
MF	GO:0042803	Protein homodimerization activity	16	2.35E-06
BP	GO:0070374	Positive regulation of ERK1 and ERK2 cascade	9	2.40E-06
BP	GO:0023014	Signal transduction by protein phosphorylation	6	2.44E-06
CC	GO:0005634	Nucleus	46	2.64E-06
BP	GO:0008284	Positive regulation of cell proliferation	13	2.94E-06
BP	GO:0035924	Cellular response to vascular endothelial growth factor stimulus	5	4.57E-06
BP	GO:0008360	Regulation of cell shape	8	5.90E-06
MF	GO:0004702	Receptor signaling protein serine/threonine kinase activity	6	6.07E-06
CC	GO:0005886	Plasma membrane	38	7.39E-06
BP	GO:0007049	Cell cycle	9	1.17E-05
MF	GO:0019903	Protein phosphatase binding	6	1.43E-05
BP	GO:0001525	Angiogenesis	9	1.43E-05
CC	GO:0005887	Integral component of plasma membrane	20	1.52E-05
MF	GO:0042802	Identical protein binding	15	1.56E-05
BP	GO:0031663	Lipopolysaccharide-mediated signaling pathway	5	1.80E-05
MF	GO:0005003	Ephrin receptor activity	4	1.84E-05
BP	GO:0034446	Substrate adhesion-dependent cell spreading	5	3.60E-05
CC	GO:0045121	Membrane raft	8	4.27E-05
BP	GO:0010976	Positive regulation of neuron projection development	6	7.83E-05
BP	GO:2000249	Regulation of actin cytoskeleton reorganization	4	9.01E-05
BP	GO:0007254	JNK cascade	5	9.93E-05
BP	GO:0043547	Positive regulation of GTPase activity	12	1.02E-04

Abbreviations: DEGTGs: differentially expressed gefitinib target genes; GO: gene ontology; BP: biological process; MF: molecular function; CC: cell component.

Supplementary Table 2. Functions involved in subgroups of the DEGTGs in LUAD.

GO	ID	Description	Р	Count
BP	GO:0051883	Killing of cells in other organism involved in symbiotic interaction	5.01131E-07	4
BP	GO:0051818	Disruption of cells of other organism involved in symbiotic interaction	8.67793E-07	4
BP	GO:0019730	Antimicrobial humoral response	1.27764E-06	7
BP	GO:0070942	Neutrophil mediated cytotoxicity	1.03059E-05	3
BP	GO:0001963	Synaptic transmission, dopaminergic	1.09352E-05	4
BP	GO:0051873	Killing by host of symbiont cells	1.87715E-05	3
BP	GO:0003002	Regionalization	2.82067E-05	9
BP	GO:0051852	Disruption by host of symbiont cells	3.08568E-05	3
BP	GO:0032225	Regulation of synaptic transmission, dopaminergic	4.71641E-05	3
BP	GO:0042035	Regulation of cytokine biosynthetic process	0.000163404	5
BP	GO:0031640	Killing of cells of other organism	0.000174369	4
BP	GO:0044364	Disruption of cells of other organism	0.000174369	4
BP	GO:0021953	Central nervous system neuron differentiation	0.000176276	6
BP	GO:0007389	Pattern specification process	0.000176336	9
BP	GO:0001505	Regulation of neurotransmitter levels	0.000192111	8
BP	GO:0006836	Neurotransmitter transport	0.000209819	7
BP	GO:0042089	Cytokine biosynthetic process	0.000233028	5

BP	GO:0042107	Cytokine metabolic process	0.000241968	5
BP	GO:2001025	Positive regulation of response to drug	0.00026536	3
BP	GO:0061844	Antimicrobial humoral immune response mediated by antimicrobial peptide	0.000327683	4
BP	GO:0009954	Proximal/distal pattern formation	0.000360573	3
BP	GO:0015893	Drug transport	0.000408537	6
BP	GO:0050829	Defense response to Gram-negative bacterium	0.000667059	4
BP	GO:0050804	Modulation of chemical synaptic transmission	0.000767437	8
BP	GO:0019835	Cytolysis	0.000769755	3
BP	GO:0099177	Regulation of trans-synaptic signaling	0.000778925	8
BP	GO:0021877	Forebrain neuron fate commitment	0.00087928	2
BP	GO:0051583	Dopamine uptake involved in synaptic transmission	0.001071537	2
BP	GO:0051934	Catecholamine uptake involved in synaptic transmission	0.001071537	2
BP	GO:0006959	Humoral immune response	0.001112298	7
BP	GO:0023061	Signal release	0.001114365	8
BP	GO:0007631	Feeding behavior	0.001117428	4
BP	GO:0060986	Endocrine hormone secretion	0.001235019	3
BP	GO:0021903	Rostrocaudal neural tube patterning	0.00128209	2
BP	GO:0015696	Ammonium transport	0.001432217	4
BP	GO:0021794	Thalamus development	0.001510774	2
BP	GO:2000052	Positive regulation of non-canonical Wnt signaling pathway	0.001510774	2
BP	GO:0051817	Modification of morphology or physiology of other organism involved in symbiotic interaction	0.001637445	4
BP	GO:0070268	Cornification	0.001637445	4
BP	GO:0030900	Forebrain development	0.001641544	7
BP	GO:0015872	Dopamine transport	0.001656262	3
BP	GO:0021879	Forebrain neuron differentiation	0.001749975	3
BP	GO:0002523	Leukocyte migration involved in inflammatory response	0.001757427	2
BP	GO:0032274	Gonadotropin secretion	0.002021886	2
BP	GO:0045073	Regulation of chemokine biosynthetic process	0.002021886	2
BP	GO:0090494	Dopamine uptake	0.002021886	2
BP	GO:2000095	Regulation of Wnt signaling pathway, planar cell polarity pathway	0.002021886	2
BP	GO:0042033	Chemokine biosynthetic process	0.002303989	2
BP	GO:0050755	Chemokine metabolic process	0.002303989	2
BP	GO:0090493	Catecholamine uptake	0.002303989	2
BP	GO:0042268	Regulation of cytolysis	0.002603576	2
BP	GO:0060850	Regulation of transcription involved in cell fate commitment	0.002603576	2
BP	GO:0048857	Neural nucleus development	0.002744342	3
BP	GO:0009952	Anterior/posterior pattern specification	0.003091123	5
BP	GO:0048663	Neuron fate commitment	0.003139052	3
BP	GO:0021872	Forebrain generation of neurons	0.003277948	3
BP	GO:0009914	Hormone transport	0.003307998	6
BP	GO:0042108	Positive regulation of cytokine biosynthetic process	0.003420562	3
BP	GO:0051588	Regulation of neurotransmitter transport	0.003582686	4
BP	GO:0042535	Positive regulation of tumor necrosis factor biosynthetic process	0.003605656	2
BP	GO:0042742	Defense response to bacterium	0.003729911	6
BP	GO:0051966	Regulation of synaptic transmission, glutamatergic	0.003871012	3
CC	GO:0042734	Presynaptic membrane	7.9005E-05	6
CC	GO:0097060	Synaptic membrane	0.000641831	8
CC	GO:0098981	Cholinergic synapse	0.000846411	2

CC	GO:0098691	Dopaminergic synapse	0.001454534	2
CC	GO:0005892	Acetylcholine-gated channel complex	0.002507195	2
CC	GO:0035580	Specific granule lumen	0.002600802	3
CC	GO:0034774	Secretory granule lumen	0.00297311	6
CC	GO:0031045	Dense core granule	0.003134424	2
CC	GO:0060205	Cytoplasmic vesicle lumen	0.003828253	6
CC	GO:0031983	Vesicle lumen	0.003883687	6
CC	GO:0005766	Primary lysosome	0.004933391	4
CC	GO:0042582	Azurophil granule	0.004933391	4
CC	GO:0044305	Calyx of Held	0.004987225	2
CC	GO:0042581	Specific granule	0.00551604	4
CC	GO:0098793	Presynapse	0.005995437	7
CC	GO:0032809	Neuronal cell body membrane	0.006288323	2
CC	GO:0044298	Cell body membrane	0.006752734	2
CC	GO:0035578	Azurophil granule lumen	0.007623018	3
CC	GO:0034702	Ion channel complex	0.010711379	5
MF	GO:0004252	Serine-type endopeptidase activity	0.000120649	6
MF	GO:0008236	Serine-type peptidase activity	0.000243133	6
MF	GO:0017171	Serine hydrolase activity	0.000273314	6
MF	GO:0005179	Hormone activity	0.000302883	5
MF	GO:0048018	Receptor ligand activity	0.000503435	9
MF	GO:0004175	Endopeptidase activity	0.001022595	8
MF	GO:0015643	Toxic substance binding	0.001219163	2
MF	GO:0008201	Heparin binding	0.00132645	5
MF	GO:0022848	Acetylcholine-gated cation-selective channel activity	0.002618819	2

Abbreviations: DEGTGs: differentially expressed gefitinib target genes; GO: gene ontology; BP: biological process; MF: molecular function; CC: cell component; LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma.